ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M127189 Date Received: 01/27/11 Date Extracted: 01/31/11 Date Analyzed: 01/31/11 Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:

Client:

Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor, M127189

101279-01 x10 101279-01 x10.077

ICPMS1 AP

Lower Limit:

Upper Limit:

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 90

60

125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium 274 Nickel 308 Copper 393 Zinc <10

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Date Received: Not Applicable Date Extracted: 01/31/11 01/31/11 Date Analyzed: Matrix: Water Units: ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File:

Operator:

Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor, M127189

I1-65 mb I1-65 mb.069 Instrument: ICPMS1 AP

Lower

Upper Limit:

Internal Standard: Germanium

Copper

Zinc

% Recovery: 90

<1

<1

Limit: 60

125

Concentration Analyte: ug/L (ppb) Chromium <1 Nickel <1

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Date of Report: 02/02/11 Date Received: 01/27/11

Project: Metro Self Monitor, M127189, F&BI 101279

QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 101270-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.02	108	106	67-132	2
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	4.57	104 b	97 b	73-119	7 b
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	4.41	103 b	95 b	50-144	8 b
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	5.15	104	99	46-148	5

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Percent Recovery LCS	Acceptance Criteria			
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	103	66-135			
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	105	67-134			
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	108	66-134			
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	103	57-135			

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- ${\bf J}$ The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L. The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- $\,$ nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- pr The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve . Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

Send Report To	D 1 HO	180	92 	SAMPLERS				_	-	ALE				TU		AROUN	D TIME
Company ACASKAN	Coffe		nles_	PROJECT NAME/NO. PO# Metro Seld maritan M/77-185				D	Standard (2 Weeks) RUSH 4 Coy Rush charges authorized by:								
Address 628 S City, State, ZIP Searce Phone # 206-571-603	eu			REMARKS	Selet '		~~4	<u></u>		-	10	770)	0 0	Sz Dispo Retur	AMP se al	PLE DIS fter 30 c	POSAL
							_	_	- /	ANAI	YSI	SREQ	JEST	ED	7		
Sample ID	Lab ID	Date	Time	Sample Type	# of containers	'IPH-Diesel	TPH-Gasoline	BTEX by 8021B	VOCs by 8260	SVOCs by 8270	HFS	300 M 32					Notes
M177189	0(1/27/11	1.00pm	Ho	1												
16 165	=				£		1	1	1	1	1		22	15			5.2
						7	2				1			* +			- 101
				-,		1	1	1	-		1			-	-		- 5
									1		1						
				3°	****		-	T I	-		-			-			
	. ,	afr		-,,-,-	7/8/4		-	+	+		+	9 5		7			
riedman & Bruya, Inc.	· /	SIGNATUI	DE C		PRINT	NAN	(F				1	COMPA	NV			DATE	TIN

Ph. (206) 285-8282

Fax (206) 283-5044

FORMS\COC\COC.DOC

SIGNATURE	PRINT NAME	COMPANY	DATE	TIME	
Relinguished	Genas Thomas	Ken	1/0/11	1:45pm	
Received by:	Nhan Phan	FLBI	1/22/11	V	
Relinquished by:					
Received by:	77.7	*			

Samples received at 16 °C

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Charlene Morrow, M.S. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 FAX: (206) 283-5044 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

February 2, 2011

Gerry Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works 628 South Hanford Seattle, WA 98134

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on January 27, 2011 from the Metro Self Monitor, M127189, F&BI 101279 project. There are 5 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU0202R.DOC

ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS

CASE NARRATIVE

This case narrative encompasses samples received on January 27, 2011 by Friedman & Bruya, Inc. from the Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor, M127189 project. Samples were logged in under the laboratory ID's listed below.

Laboratory ID

Alaskan Copper Works

101279-01

M127189

All quality control requirements were acceptable.